10/678,720 01168/BW00076

REMARKS

Applicant notes with appreciation, the withdrawal of the rejection over Lam '897 in view of Brassel and Tradewell.

Claims 23 – 29 have been canceled. These amendments are not the addition of new matter. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully asks that the amendments be entered.

Claims 6 - 9, 12 - 13 and 29 remain in the in the application.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 6-9, 12-13 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Lam (EP 1203897) in view of Lam (EP 0971151) in further view of Smith (US 5,965,658).

Claims 6-9, 12-13 and 29 are patentably distinct over the combinations of references in the recitation of the secondary layer comprising about 5% to about 35%, by weight, of partially carbonized carbon fibers, based on the weight of the fibrous base material, wherein the partially carbonized carbon fibers are 65 to 90% carbonized.

Nowhere does Lam '897 disclose or suggest that the secondary layer of the friction material comprises carbon fibers.

Nowhere does Lam '897 disclose or suggest that the secondary layer of the friction material comprises partially carbonized carbon fibers.

Nowhere does Lam '897 disclose or suggest that the secondary layer of the friction material comprises 5% to 35%, by weight, of partially carbonized carbon fibers.

Lam '897 does not teach that the partially carbonized carbon fibers are 65 – 90% carbonized.

10/678,720 01168/BW00076

Clearly, Lam '897 is deficient.

To substitute carbon fibers of any kind for the carbon particles of Lam does not meet the "common sense" test of <u>Teleflex</u> let alone the Federal Circuit's "teaching, suggestion, motivation" test.

Appellant respectfully submits that the "common sense" test of <u>KSR Int'l Co.</u>

<u>v. Teleflex Inc.</u>, 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1734, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007) and the Federal

Circuit's "teaching, suggestion, motivation" test would not teach what is claimed.

Lam '151 does not teach that the secondary layer of the friction material comprises partially carbonized carbon fibers.

Lam '151 does not teach that the secondary layer of the friction material comprises 5% to 35%, by weight, of partially carbonized carbon fibers.

Lam '151 does not teach that the partially carbonized fibers are 65 – 90% carbonized.

The rejection attempts to add to Lam what is not there.

The rejection fails to establish a prima facie case of obviousness because the applied prior art does not teach or suggest the key elements of what is claimed. See <u>In re Kahn</u>, 441 F.3d 977, 985-86, 78 U.S.P.Q. 1329, 1335 (Fed.Cir. 2006).

The rejection does not provide any evidentiary basis to support the findings. See In re Ahlert, 424 F.2d 1088, 1091, 165 U.S.P.Q. 418, 420-21 (CCPA 1970).

Smith does not teach carbon fibers in the secondary layer.

Smith does not teach that the secondary layer of the friction material comprises partially carbonized carbon fibers.

Smith does not teach that the secondary layer of the friction material comprises 5% to 35%, by weight, of partially carbonized carbon fibers.

No basis in fact or theory exists for picking and choosing from Lam '151 and Smith as suggested.

10/678,720 01168/BW00076

Applicant respectfully submit that one cannot rely on hindsight in reaching an obvious determination. It is essential that the decision maker forget what he or she has been taught by the claimed invention. One cannot use piecemeal reconstruction to arrive at the claimed invention. See <u>Golight v. Walmart</u>, CAFC 02-1608, 2004. Also see <u>In re Fine</u>, 837 F.2d 1071 5 USPQ 1596 (CAFC 1988). The rejection ignores the express limitations in the claims. See <u>Bausch & Lomb</u>, <u>Inc. v. Barnes-Hind/Hydrocurve</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 796 F2d 443, 448-449, 240 USPQ 416, 420 (Fed.Cir. 1986).

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully asks that the Examiner withdraw this rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103.

Applicant respectfully submits that claims 6 - 9, 12 - 13 and 29 are in condition for allowance and respectfully ask that the Examiner pass the claims to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

EMCH, SCHAFFER, SCHAUB & PORCELLO CO., L.P.A.

Patrick P. Pacella Reg. No.: 25,463

P.O. Box 916 Toledo, Ohio 43697 Ph: (419) 243-1294 Fax (419) 243-8502

PPP/kab